HOW ARE EU VALUES PROTECTED?



EU values aren't just words in a treaty—they come with **mechanisms** to ensure that all member states follow them. The EU uses a mix of **monitoring**, **legal actions**, **and financial penalties** to hold governments accountable.

1 | Annual Rule of Law Reports

Since 2020, every EU country must submit a yearly report on democracy, judicial independence, and corruption risks.

The European Commission reviews these reports and highlights issues—whether it's concerns about media freedom, political interference in courts, or threats to democratic institutions.

If a country ignores these warnings, the EU can step in.

2 | Venice Commission – The EU's Watchdog on Democracy

* * *

Governments often
consult the Venice
Commission before
making big legal changes
(but some ignore its
recommendations).



If a country's reforms
undermine democracy,
the EU can use these
reports to apply pressure
or take legal action.









3 | EU Funds Linked to Rule of Law Compliance – the conditionality mechanism



The EU now ties funding to respect for the rule of law—if a country violates democratic principles, it can lose billions of euros.



Hungary and Poland have already had EU funds frozen over concerns about judicial independence and corruption.

4 | Article 7 TEU - The "Nuclear Option"



If a country seriously violates EU values, the EU can launch Article 7 proceedings, which could lead to losing voting rights in the EU Council.



Poland and Hungary have both faced this process, though it's hard to enforce because it requires unanimous approval from all member states.



To note :

There is no mechanism in the EU treaty to exclude a member state from the European Union.

A member state can decide to leave like the United Kingdom did in 2020 but it is assumed that EU countries, despite on the diversity in political beliefs across the 27 governments, all share the same understanding and support for EU values.

Recent developments with Hungary and Poland in particular have proved that it is not the case.

THE EU VALUES DEBATE: WHERE'S THE TENSION?



Protecting EU values isn't always easy. Some governments claim the EU is **overstepping** and interfering in national affairs. Here are the key **flashpoints** in the debate:

1 | National Sovereignty vs. EU Oversight

Some leaders argue that the EU shouldn't dictate how they run their judiciary or media.

Others say that by **joining the EU**, countries agreed to uphold these values
—so the EU has every right to enforce them.



Press freedom is **under threat** in some member states where governments control media outlets.

The EU is **pushing for stronger laws** to protect journalists and prevent media takeovers.

3 | Using EU Funds as a Weapon?

Some leaders claim that withholding EU funds is a **political tool** used to punish governments with different ideologies.

The EU insists it's about protecting taxpayers' money from corruption and democratic backsliding.

If the rule of law weakens, democracy itself is at risk.

Without independent courts and a free press, corruption spreads, and governments can manipulate elections.

Your rights as an EU citizen depend on strong institutions.

Whether it's freedom of movement, privacy protections, or fair working conditions, these rely on governments following EU rules.

The EU's credibility is on the line.

If it can't enforce its own values, can it still claim to be a union based on democracy and human rights?

CONCLUSION: SHOULD THE EU DO MORE OR STAY OUT?

Some say the EU needs **tougher enforcement**, like automatic sanctions for rule-of-law violations.



Others argue that national governments should have **more autonomy** over their own legal systems.



What do you think?

Should the EU have more power to enforce its values, or is it already going too far?



